- 1 to Peter Price.
- Q And who did Behrooz report to?
- 3 A Mr. McKinnon.
- Q Okay. And after Mr. McKinnon left, was he ever --
- 5 was his position ever filled?
- 6 A Mr. McKinnon's?
- 7 Q Yeah.
- 8 A Not really.
- 9 Or were you supposed to be taking over some of his
- 10 functions?
- 11 A I was supposed to be taking over some of his
- 12 functions, yes.
- 13 Q Now when Mr. McKinnon -- after Mr. McKinnon left,
- 14 did you attempt to supervise Mr. Nourain in his engineering
- 15 microwave responsibilities?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Now Mr. Ontiveros, I'd like you to -- there's a
- 18 volume before you a thicker volume. I'd like you to go to
- 19 tab 11 sir.
- 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is this the Time Warner Exhibits?
- 21 MR. BEGLEITER: Yes the Time Warner Cablevision.
- 22 I'm sorry. I got used to referring to it as the thick
- 23 volume. I will now refer to it as the Time Warner. I think
- it will be better if you actually go to tab 14.
- 25 //

- 2 Q Now sir you see that -- are you familiar with the
- document that's at Tab 14 of the Time Warner Cablevision set
- 4 of exhibits?
- 5 A Installation progress report?
- 6 Q Yeah.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Is that something that you -- your department
- 9 would have prepared?
- 10 A Yes
- 11 Q Did you prepare it?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q What was the purpose of preparing this document?
- 14 A It was to keep track of new subscriber
- 15 installations.
- 16 Q When this document was completed, was it
- 17 distributed?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And who would -- who and where would it be
- 20 distributed?
- 21 A It was distributed at our weekly executive staff
- 22 meetings as well as within our department.
- 23 Q When did you -- about what year did you start
- 24 preparing these installation -- these installation progress
- 25 reports?

- 1 A Some time after Bruce left.
- 2 Q After --
- 3 A '93.
- 4 O After mid '93?
- 5 A Right. Although he did have something like this.
- 6 Q Sir, was the purpose of this document to track
- 7 licenses?
- 8 MR. HOLT: Objection. Leading.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to overrule that
- 10 objection. Can you answer the question?
- BY MR. BEGLEITER:
- 12 A Well it is now.
- 13 Q I'm asking okay before May of '95, was the purpose
- of this document to track licenses?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Okay. What was the purpose of this document?
- 17 A To again to track subscriber installations.
- 18 Q And it was -- was it to inform the people at the
- meeting as to the status of these installations?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Has this document -- has this document changed
- 22 since -- since '95?
- 23 A Yes it has. We --
- Q Well let me ask you the question. Why has it
- 25 changed?

- A Why has it changed? Because we now have a good
- 2 compliance program in place and this is -- this is part of
- 3 it.
- 4 Q Are you saying that it's now used to track
- 5 licenses?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A Well it's now used to show that we do indeed have
- 9 authority to operate a path.
- 10 Q Do you remember when this change occurred?
- 11 A Summer of '95 I think probably August or September
- 12 was probably the first building.
- 13 Q Sir did there come a time -- did there come a time
- 14 when you learned that Liberty had been activating paths
- 15 without proper FCC authority?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q When did you learn this?
- 18 A Some time at the end of April.
- 19 Q Of what year?
- 20 A 1995.
- Q Did you have inkling before the end of April 1995
- 22 that Liberty had been transmitting without proper FCC
- 23 authority?
- 24 A No.
- Q Tell me, sir how you found out in late April of

- 1 1995 that there had been this unauthorized transmission?
- 2 A In a meeting.
- 3 Q And who attended the meeting?
- A Behrooz Nourain, Peter Price and Edward Milstein.
- 5 Q Okay. And what specifically did you learn at this
- 6 meeting?
- 7 A That we might have some buildings out there that
- 8 were turned out before we had authority to turn them on.
- 9 Q What was your reaction to this -- this
- 10 information?
- 11 A Shock, pit in my stomach. You know that we had
- 12 done something wrong.
- 13 Q Did you have any thoughts about your -- about your
- 14 supervision of Mr. Nourain at the time?
- 15 A Maybe not at that moment, but sure afterwards you
- 16 kick yourself for not getting involved a little bit more but
- 17 not at that moment. That moment was just that we were had
- 18 made a big mistake and were concerned about it.
- 19 Q Did you know for certain at this first moment that
- there had been unauthorized transmissions?
- 21 A No not for sure.
- Q What was done as a result -- after the meeting?
- What did you do after the meeting with this regard?
- 24 A Well I know every company whatever we were doing
- 25 came to a complete halt. No more -- we didn't do any new

- installations. I know we spent some of our time going back
- 2 to find out what indeed happened and spent a lot of time on
- 3 putting together a compliance program.
- 4 Q Now did your role regarding licenses change after
- 5 this meeting?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Now could you testify that prior to April of '95
- 8 you didn't feel you had any responsibility for its licenses
- 9 is that correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Now what happened after this -- this revelation?
- 12 A Well obviously learned a little bit more about it
- and our as a company put together a compliance program. I
- 14 was ultimately the last person who had to make sure that we
- signoffs by our compliance officer before we could actually
- 16 activate a building.
- 17 Q Now in -- prior to your understanding, prior to
- 18 this revelation at the end of April 1995, were you paying
- 19 attention at all to licensing issues?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Do you know what an emission designator is?
- 22 A No.
- Q Do you know what it is now?
- 24 A Not really.
- Q Did you know what it was in April '95?

- 2 Q Do you have any recollection of an emission
- 3 designator problem in late April 1995?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q And if you had been told about an emission
- 6 designator problem what would your practice have been in
- 7 those days?
- 8 A Again if it was an FCC related item or something
- 9 to do with microwave, I probably didn't give it much
- 10 attention. Probably should have given it a lot.
- 11 Q You probably should have. Okay
- 12 A I guess.
- Q Would you ask other people to get involved with
- 14 the issue?
- 15 A It's poss --
- MR. HOLT: Objection. The witness has no
- foundation and it's entirely speculative. I mean the
- 18 witness has said he doesn't know what an emission designator
- is and didn't know at the time. He's now asking him to
- 20 speculate what he might have done had he --
- MR. BEGLEITER: I'm asking for his practice on
- this type of issue.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Yeah you'll be able to cross
- 24 examine him. I'm going to overrule the objection.
- 25 //

1	BY	MR.	BEGLEITER:

- 2 Q What would your practice have been if you were
- 3 given an issue involving -- an issue such as emission
- designator if that was brought to your attention?
- 5 A I -- probably would have taken it and you know
- 6 either decided whether I should file it or not file it --
- 7 again I didn't really give it a lot of attention
- 8 unfortunately.
- 9 Q Did you look upon as something you should act on?
- 10 A No. Not really.
- 11 Q All right. Sir, again you indicated that it was
- in April of 1995 you had this -- this -- you became aware of
- 13 this -- that Liberty might be transmitting without
- 14 authorization is that correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q I want to ask you. At any time prior to that, had
- anyone ever encouraged you to activate a building without
- 18 authorization?
- 19 A No.
- Q Had you been present when anyone else was
- 21 encouraged to activate a building without authorization?
- 22 A No.
- Q Would you have activated a building without
- 24 authorization?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q Would you have permitted Mr. Nourain to activate a
- 2 building if you had known that the building was not
- 3 authorized by the FCC?
- 4 A No.
- 5 O Your Honor I think I'm finished.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Before you -- before
- 7 cross begins let me just ask a couple of questions of a
- 8 background nature here to -- where was your office and where
- 9 was Mr. Nourain's office? At this time? You know the early
- 10 part of 1995?
- THE WITNESS: We were in the same office maybe my
- office changed a few times. But you know a door or two
- 13 away.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: A door or two away? Same floor?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: But you were removed from
- 17 headquarters? From the main office, right? In other words
- 18 you were not in the same building with Mr. Milstein and Mr.
- 19 Price?
- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Either of the Mr. Milsteins?
- THE WITNESS: Hmmm mmm (affirmative).
- JUDGE SIPPEL: And when you testify -- you said
- you had this meeting with Mr. Nourain, Mr. Peter Price and
- 25 Mr. Edward Milstein when you first learned of the problem?

	1	Correct?
ر ر	2	THE WITNESS: Right.
	3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Where was that meeting?
	4	THE WITNESS: That was at the at Peter Price's
	5	office.
	6	JUDGE SIPPEL: It was in Peter Price's office?
	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did the whole meeting take place in
	9	Peter Price's office?
	10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	11	JUDGE SIPPEL: You didn't meet at all in Mr.
	12	Milstein's office?
~	13	THE WITNESS: Not that I can remember.
	14	JUDGE SIPPEL: And was Mr now this was a
	15	pretty important meeting though, right?
	16	THE WITNESS: It turned out to be yes.
	17	JUDGE SIPPEL: It turned out to be. Yeah was
	18	Mr was was Mr. Milstein's brother there?
	19	THE WITNESS: No.
	20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Just Mr. Edward Milstein?
	21	THE WITNESS: Correct.
	22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why because he wasn't in town or he

24 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

just didn't join the meeting?

23

JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay that's it.

MR. BEGLEITER: Your Honor can I ask one question 1 2 I forgot to ask him. now? BY MR. BEGLEITER: 3 I asked you Mr. Ontiveros about your reaction when 4 you first found out. Can you tell me what the reaction of 5 the other people in the room was? 6 I -- the sense I got I guess maybe that's why I 7 felt the way I felt was I think it was the same all the way 8 Everybody was pretty shocked. 9 around. Q Okay, thank you. 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Beckner? 11 12 MR. BECKNER: Yes. sir. CROSS EXAMINATION 13 14 BY MR. BECKNER: Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Ontiveros you may 15 0 remember me from the deposition on May 21st. I represent 16 Time Warner Cable. My name is Bruce Beckner. 17 18 Α Oh yeah. At the end of your response to Mr. Begleiter's 19 20 questioning he was asking you whether or not anybody ever encouraged you to turn on a building without a license and 21 you said no that had never happened. Correct? 22 Α 23 Correct. 24 But it's true, is it not that -- that there

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

was a always I think you used the term push -- push to turn

25

- on buildings quickly after you had a contract. Isn't that
- 2 true?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q I'm not suggesting that that means that you were
- 5 told to break the law. But you were encouraged to do these
- things as guickly as possible. Isn't that correct?
- 7 A Correct. Except that I think it was more from a
- 8 sense that we were in a competitive environment. We had a
- 9 contract. We wanted to get things turned on.
- 10 Q Okay. Now I want to ask you -- I want to follow
- up a little bit on the Judge's questions just a little bit.
- You testified I think in response to his questions as well
- 13 as Mr. Begleiter's when you found out about at least the
- 14 possibility of unlicensed operations at a meeting with Mr.
- Nourain, Mr. Price and Mr. Edward Milstein it was in Mr.
- 16 Price's office? Correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Before the meeting was held, did you have a phone
- 19 conversation with Mr. Edward Milstein where you told him
- 20 anything about this problem?
- 21 A Not that I can remember.
- Q Okay. And did you -- did you have a discussion
- with Behrooz Nourain before this meeting where Mr. Nourain
- 24 told you anything about this problem of unlicensed
- 25 operations?

- 1 A Not that I can remember.
- Q Well Mr. Price's office is in a different building
- 3 than your office, correct?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q In fact it's some distance away?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. Do you remember the circumstances which
- 8 lead to your going to the meeting? I mean did somebody call
- 9 you and say we're going to have a meeting down here at 575
- 10 Madison or how did that come about?
- 11 A I don't remember. I mean I thought maybe it could
- have been when I was down there already at a different staff
- meeting, but I really don't recall.
- 14 Q Okay. So you don't even know whether it was a
- special meeting that was called or whether you were already
- there for some other purpose?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q When the meeting was finished, did you go back
- 19 uptown to your regular office?
- 20 A You know I thought about that. I don't -- my only
- 21 recollection is finding out that information. Everything
- 22 else around that time I really don't recall.
- 23 Q And did you have any private conversations with
- 24 Mr. Nourain after this meeting where you know you discussed
- 25 further how it was that the company could have operating

- 1 without licenses?
- 2 A It's possible but I don't know.
- 3 Q Did you have any particular feelings toward Mr.
- 4 Nourain? Were you mad at him? Or disappointed in him? Or
- 5 anything like that?
- A No I -- again things to do with microwave I never
- 7 really paid attention to. So I guess even after that
- 8 meeting just knowing that there was something wrong. I
- 9 don't remember if we I would be mad at him. I'm sure we
- 10 were all concerned that --
- 11 Q Well now there came a time did there not, after
- 12 the meeting, which it was confirmed that Liberty was running
- microwave paths without a license is that correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And -- and you knew -- you learned that at some
- 16 point?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Okay. You -- can you tell us how you learned
- 19 that? In other words the confirmation that Liberty was in
- 20 fact operating without licenses in a few instances?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Do you know from whom you got this confirmation?
- 23 A No.
- Q Do you remember anything about it? Whether it
- 25 was -- whether you got or you saw a memo or any kind of

- writing that told you this? Or whether someone just told it
- 2 to you orally?
- 3 A Yeah I really don't remember. There was a little
- 4 bit of a transition there. You know from not having any
- 5 involvement to obviously having a lot more involvement. So
- I guess as time went on I understood a lot more about you
- 7 know what had happened and how we were going to change
- 8 things.
- 9 Q I'd like you to take a look at -- at some
- 10 documents.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q They're in the notebook there. One would be Time
- 13 Warner Exhibit 34.
- 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Which is the last tab in that book.
- 15 BY MR. BECKNER:
- 16 Q Which is I think the last tab in the book. That's
- 17 right.
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 O You see this is a memorandum that's addressed to
- 20 Behrooz Nourain and Peter Price from Mike Lehmkuhl. And
- 21 attached to it there's a two page list of addresses. Do you
- recall seeing this memorandum or any part of it around April
- 23 28th 1995?
- 24 A No.
- Q Do you recall seeing it at all before today?

- 1 A Yes I have seen it before today.
- 2 O What were the circumstances under which you saw
- 3 it?
- A I mean it was shown to me to ask me if I had seen
- 5 this before.
- 6 Q This was in preparation for your testimony today?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Is that a yes?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay I'm sorry. Thank you. I'd like you to turn
- 11 to -- there's a stack of documents that are I think in the
- notebook, that are in a clip there at the end. And I'd like
- you to turn to the top one on the stack which is Exhibit 36,
- 14 I'm sorry Exhibit 35.
- 15 A Okay.
- 16 Q And it's a copy of a memo from Behrooz Nourain to
- 17 Ed Milstein indicates you as a CC on that. Do you recall
- 18 receiving this memo either in its pure typed form or marked
- 19 up like it is now?
- 20 A I don't -- I don't remember.
- 21 Q Do you remember discussing this memorandum with --
- 22 with Mr. Price or Mr. Nourain?
- 23 A No.
- Q Do you have idea why you would have received a
- 25 copy of this memorandum?

- 1 A No.
- 2 O Does this memorandum refresh your recollection at
- 3 all about -- about either the meeting that you testified was
- 4 held or events right after the meeting?
- 5 A No it doesn't.
- 6 Q If you look at the addresses on this memorandum,
- 7 do you recognize any of these addresses as being addresses
- 8 that were actually in operation as of the date of the
- 9 memorandum?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q I don't recall if you've been asked this or not
- but forgive me if you have. Do you remember the date ore
- the day of the week of this meeting that you've testified
- 14 to, that you had with Mr. Nourain, Mr. Price and Edward
- 15 Milstein?
- 16 A No, I don't.
- 17 Q Now you have -- you had a weekly staff meeting
- 18 with Mr. Price, Mr. Milstein and other department heads that
- 19 took place on Thursday as a regular meeting, isn't that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 JUDGE SIPPEL: Which Mr. Milstein now? Ask him
- 23 which one?
- BY MR. BECKNER:
- 25 Q Well with both Howard and Edward Milstein?

- 1 A Correct.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
- BY MR. BECKNER:
- 4 Q And at that meeting among other things you handed
- out a -- an installation progress report every week, isn't
- 6 that right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And you also handed out a weekly operations report
- 9 at those meetings or is that the same thing?
- 10 A Same thing.
- 11 Q Okay. Now do you remember whether or not whether
- this separate with Mr. Nourain, Ed Milstein and Peter Price
- 13 took place on the same day when you would have had one of
- 14 those regular staff meetings?
- MR. BEGLEITER: Your Honor, if I may. Mr. Beckner
- 16 keeps on referring to the staff meetings and I think that
- 17 has a different connotation to where they've already been
- 18 described. I think they're --
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll sustain it as an objection.
- MR. BEGLEITER: Yeah.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: And maybe just lay a little
- 22 foundation Mr. Beckner.
- MR. BECKNER: Okay.
- MR. BEGLEITER: As to who attends.
- 25 //

	1	BY MR. BECKNER:
_	2	Q The Thursday meeting that you held on a regular
	3	basis every Thursday with Ed and Howard Milstein and Peter
	4	Price and other department heads, what was the name they
	5	used to refer to that?
	6	A Executive Staff meeting.
	7	Q Okay. All right. I'll use that name. The
	8	question I want to ask you is whether or not you remember if
	9	this meeting that you testified to earlier with Mr. Nourain,
	10	Mr. Price and Edward Milstein took place on the same day as
	11	the Executive Staff Meeting for that particular week?
	12	A I don't recall.
~	13	Q It may have been or may not have been?
	14	A I don't remember.
	15	Q Now Mr. Nourain did not ordinarily attend the
,	16	Executive Staff Meetings did he?
	17	A Correct.
	18	Q Did you have separate operations department staff
:	19	meetings on a regular basis?
:	20	A Yes.
2	21	Q Was Mr. Nourain part of those?

22 A Yes.

24

25

Q Okay and how often did you have those meetings?

A Depending on the period of time, it was weekly and maybe a couple of times a month. It really depended on who

- we could get together and what was going on.
- 2 Q As a general matter, what did you discuss at those
- 3 -- at those meetings with the operations people?
- A Construction, installations, maintenance issues,
- 5 service issues.
- 6 Q Now when if -- with Mr. Nourain at those meetings
- 7 did you discuss with him the progress of installing
- 8 microwave facilities and those places which you had been?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. So it would be fair to say that you were
- involved in coordinating Mr. Nourain's microwave
- 12 construction work? Would that be fair to say?
- 13 A No I mean there'd be a -- we'd have a date that
- we'd agree on for a particular project and -- so
- 15 coordinating I guess.
- 16 Q Well if we take a hypothetical building it's a new
- 17 building for Liberty. And then what I'm asking you about is
- 18 your standard practice here is one of my questions. And
- 19 let's assume that in that building you had to build an
- 20 internal distribution system and you also had to build a
- 21 microwave receiving facility on the roof of that building.
- 22 Okay have we got that?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q All right. Would it be a different group of
- 25 people in your organization who'd be responsible for

- building the internal distribution system as compared to
- 2 putting the microwave dish up on the roof?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q I see. And who would be responsible for making
- 5 sure that the microwave dish and that associated electronics
- 6 was put in place at about the time when the internal
- 7 distribution network was also built? Would that be something
- 8 you would do?
- 9 A No the microwave portion of it would be handled by
- 10 Behrooz. And you know that may or may not coincide with the
- 11 building distribution. The building distribution would be
- 12 handled by a construction supervisor or construction
- manager.
- 14 Q Was there though -- for each new building that you
- were going to serve, was there a target date by which all
- 16 the construction was supposed to be done? That is the
- 17 microwave antennae as well as the internal distribution
- 18 network?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. And I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 14.
- 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: This is Time Warner Cablevision 14?
- MR. BECKNER: Yes this is Time Warner Cablevision
- 23 14, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
- 25 //

1	RΥ	MD	BECKNER:
1	131	1,117	DECKNER.

- 2 Q Do you have that in front of you, Mr. Ontiveros?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay and this is a copy of an installation
- 5 progress report which is some -- something that you put
- 6 together, isn't that right?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And this is something that you did and handed out
- 9 at every weekly Executive Staff Meeting isn't that correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q All right. I was looking at the first page of
- this. There's a group of building under the title Current
- 13 Project. And I want you just -- and I realize this repeats
- what you've testified at deposition, but I'd like the Judge
- 15 to hear.
- I want you just to explain a couple of things for
- 17 us. First, let's just take the top building here, 55 West
- 18 End Avenue. Under status it says complete. Just tell us
- what that means by "complete".
- 20 A Okay. It would mean that the construction was
- 21 done and that the you know that's for the most part new
- 22 installations were complete as well.
- Q Okay.
- A Maybe some people left, but.
- Q Okay now. There's a column that has sub slash

- 1 Inst. And in this particular entry for 55 West End there's
- 2 104/104. Does that mean that 104 people were going to
- 3 subscribe to Liberty's service and in fact 104 had been
- 4 installed? Is that what that means there?
- 5 A Yes see well -- it means that we installed 104
- 6 people.
- 7 Q Okay. So and everybody who's installed is in fact
- 8 receiving the service, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. Now let's just jump down to Henry Hudson
- 11 Parkway. There you have 91 subs and 73 under the Inst.
- 12 column. Can you tell us what the difference means there?
- 13 A I'm sorry. 3755? You said the 91 and the 63?
- 14 O Yeah.
- 15 A That would mean that we have a contract with the
- building for 91 subscribers and that we have 73 people
- 17 actually installed.
- 18 Q Okay. So the rest of the people still need to be
- 19 hooked up. Is that correct?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q All right. Now I want to skip down to the last
- one in this first group here which is 441 East 92nd. And
- there's a status line there that says in progress. Can you
- 24 tell us what that means?
- 25 A That would mean that either there was either or --

- there was still some construction that was left or we were
- in the process of actually doing installations.
- 3 Q Okay now. Here under -- for this building the
- 4 Brittany, you have under subs 7 and under Inst also 7. Does
- 5 that mean 7 people have already been hooked up?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. And presumably the rest of the people are
- 8 being hooked up in the next week or two, isn't that what in
- 9 progress means?
- 10 A Yes if there are people who are who still need to
- 11 be hooked up.
- 12 Q Okay. Now I want to jump down here to the third
- 13 group -- the top of the third group Waterside Plaza. Under
- the status column they have Inst. 3/15-Blg.30. Can you tell
- 15 us what that means?
- 16 A That means that building is either in construction
- or completed construction I should say rather, and that a
- 18 target date is March 15.
- 19 Q To begin what? To begin installation?
- 20 A Installations.
- 21 Q Okay. And again when we're talk -- when we're
- using the term installation here what we're talking about is
- 23 actually connecting a customer to the distribution system in
- the building so he can get service right?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q All right.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me be sure I've covered that.
- 3 That's the install -- that's the target date to commence the
- 4 installation or to complete the installation?
- 5 THE WITNESS: To start.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: To start. Thank you.
- 7 BY MR. BECKNER:
- 8 Q Now if we go down on the same group of buildings
- 9 to 16 West 16, under the status line, they have -- you have
- 10 microwave installation. Can you tell us what that means?
- 11 A Microwave installation and marketing. It would
- 12 probably mean that the building construction was done, but
- 13 the microwave construction was not.
- 14 Q Okay. So that -- that building at that point is
- 15 not ready to be -- to have customers installed? Is that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A Right.
- 18 Q All right.
- 19 A And -- and the same could be said about all of
- 20 them in that block. I know they have dates, but it doesn't
- 21 necessarily mean that we started on those dates.
- 22 Q I understand.
- 23 A They're target dates.
- Q Those are -- and this date is -- this date here at
- 25 the top of the page, February 23, 1995 would that be the